

# **Minutes about the Decisions of the Editorial Committee Meeting**

on Preliminary Results of COST Action E19

**Institute of Forest Sector Policy and Economics  
University of Agricultural Sciences, Vienna  
Vienna, Austria**

**14 September 2002 at 9:00 – 16:30**

**Participants:** Erling Berge, Peter Glueck, Karl Hogl, Americo Carvalho Mendes, Ine Neven, Michael Pregernig, Heiner Schanz, Margaret Shannon, Johannes Voitleithner.

## **1. Welcome address and status report**

The Porto meeting is cancelled due to budget cut of COST.

## **2. Main propositions of WG1 and WG2**

Different propositions out of the WG discussions are seen as the result (“the data”) of the COST-Action E19.

No difference is made between theoretically and empirically based propositions, as it will be pointed out that these are discussion results and no research results.

## **3. Characteristics of the “product”**

### *Addressees*

The primarily audience of the report on preliminary results of Cost Action E19 are policy makers at the national and pan-european levels, especially participants of the Fourth Ministerial Conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe, 28-30 April 2003 in Vienna. Thus the report has to be finished before **24 December 2002**.

The wording of the report and the propositions should be as understandable as possible.

Scientists are served by publications along the way (proceedings, special issue of Forest Policy and Economics, NFP country reports publication). Future questions for research (knowledge gaps) need to be addressed both in terms of missing information in the final report and future questions.

### ***Base of the product***

The basis for the report on preliminary results of Cost Action E19 are the minutes and propositions of the WGs and should be limited to actual results of the Cost E19 meetings. The editorial committee does not invent something new. This also means, that only terms of WG meetings and the Memorandum of Understanding of Cost E19 should be used.

The draft report will be sent to the members of Cost action E19 for comments in **December 2002**.

### ***Authorship***

- Editors: Chair and Working Group leaders of COST Action E19 (Peter Glueck, Ine Neven, Americo C. Mendes).
- Editorial Committee: All members invited to the Editorial Committee meeting in Vienna are mentioned to be responsible for assembling the report.

### ***Resources for publishing the report***

The easiest way is through the Institute of Forest Sector Policy and Economics in Austria or through the Austrian ministry with the logo of COST. EFI is also an option, but not explicitly involved. Peter Glueck will ask EFI about its possibilities for publishing the report in time and at their own expense.

## **4. Outline of the draft report and responsibilities**

The report on preliminary results of Cost Action E19 should not extend 40-50 pages. Additionally an executive summary of about 10-15 pages should be included.

### ***Introduction***

Overview on set-up of COST Action E19: what can be expected; results are outcomes of discussion based on theoretical and empirical realms, no research results; new mode of governance by policy networks.

Responsibility: Peter **Glueck**

Date: 31 October 2002

### ***Conceptual approach of COST Action E19***

Why four essentials and what do they mean; Summary of background papers; summarizing Freiburg and Madrid meetings ("conceptualize" discussion), concluded by paper of Michael Pregernig and Karl Hognl (with contribution from Margaret

Shannon); approaches applied by WG 1&2. Margaret Shannon agreed to develop a short analysis of how the four essentials are a part of the Ecosystem approach.

Responsibility: Karl **Hogl**, Americo C. **Mendes**, Michael **Pregernig** and Margaret **Shannon**

Date: **31 October** 2002

### ***Main findings of WG1&2***

List of topics related to how to do NFPs and so on with an elaboration of knowledge and experience from the action.

“Cook list” matrixes of WG1 and WG2: cook lists extracted from propositions, subsequently propositions compiled according to matrix. The cook lists point out, what policy makers should take into account in an NFP process, but not how they should make it.

Responsibility: Ine **Neven** and Americo C. **Mendes** deliver the lists of propositions from WG 1 and WG 2 to Heiner Schanz. WG 1&2 leaders can ask the WG members for comments to this propositions by Email. For WG 1 especially comments to the topic “change in iterative processes” are needed. For WG 2 Erling **Berge** will deliver a short paper with propositions referring to political culture and social context of NFPs.

Date: **September** and **October** 2002

Heiner **Schanz** and Margaret **Shannon** deliver the organized lists of propositions. Heiner work as coordinator for the development of "cook list" for WG 1 & 2 and sort the propositions. Shannon will help Schanz on analysing this sorted list of propositions.

Date: **31 October** 2002

The Editorial committee will meet again at 16 November 2002 in Vienna to work on the analysis of the organized list of propositions by "cook lists".

### ***Interdependencies of these concepts***

Discussion: which elements of the “cook lists” (= points for clarification, before developing an NFP) can be influenced by politicians and through what instruments. Recommendations for the actors at the national and pan-European levels.

Date: after next EC meeting at 16 November 2002

### ***Appendix***

List of participants, list of publications and list of delivered papers of all Cost E19 meetings.

### ***Folder***

If possible, a 4-page-folder with pictures in addition to the report on preliminary results of Cost Action E19 will be produced. Heiner Schanz and Ine Neven will check the possibilities for that in Wageningen.

Possible outline of folder:

- Why four essentials?
- Points for attention when developing a NFP: Matrix WG 1 and Matrix WG 2
- Reference to Summary Report

## **5. Next meeting**

The final meeting of Cost Action E19 will be held in Vienna, approximately in September 2003.

The topic for WG 1 and WG 2 are the interrelations between the propositions of WG 1&2. After the Vienna meeting, proceedings are to be published.

### ***Suggestions for the agenda of the Vienna meeting***

- main findings of COST Action E19 (Speakers: chairs of WGs)
- comparative study of forest policy in Canada and Europe with focus on NFPs in Europe (Speaker: Jeremy Rayner, Canada)
- Panel on exchange of experiences (examples from Finland, Hungary, Austria, Germany)
- Ecosystem approach and SFM (Speaker: Jerry Franklin as a possibility)
- New forms of governance by policy networks (Speaker: Matthias)
- Collaboration and Communication in NFPs, communicative part of the political dialogue, how perception of reality is changing (Speaker: Margaret Shannon)
- Coordination problems - time/space - separate aspects pulled together (Speaker: Karl Hogl)
- Transfer of scientific knowledge into NFPs (Speaker: Michael Pregernig)
- People who invented idea of NFPs (a member of IPF and IFF)

Peter Glueck  
Chairman

Heiner Schanz, Margaret Shannon, Johannes Voitleithner  
Minutes taker

Vienna, 18 September 2002